arXiv:math/0605028v4 [math.AG] 10 Apr 2008

ENDOMORPHISMS OF SUPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

YURI G. ZARHIN

Abstract. Let K be a field of characteristic zero, $n \geq 5$ an integer, $f(x)$ an irreducible polynomial over K of degree n, whose Galois group contains a doubly transitive simple non-abelian group. Let p be an odd prime, $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ the ring of integers in the pth cyclotomic field, $C_{f,p}: y^p = f(x)$ the corresponding superelliptic curve and $J(C_{f,p})$ its jacobian. Assuming that either $n = p+1$ or p does not divide $n(n-1)$, we prove that the ring of all endomorphisms of $J(C_{f,p})$ coincides with $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. The same is true if $n = 4$, the Galois group of $f(x)$ is the full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_4$ and K contains a primitive pth root of unity.

2000 Math. Subj. Class: Primary 14H40; Secondary 14K05, 11G30, 11G10

Key words and phrases: *abelian varieties, superelliptic jacobians, doubly transitive permutation groups*

1. Definitions and statements

Throughout this paper K is a field and K_a its algebraic closure. We write $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ for the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K_a/K)$ and ℓ for a prime different from $\mathrm{char}(K)$. If X is an abelian variety of positive dimension over K_a then $\mathrm{End}(X)$ stands for the ring of all its K_a-endomorphisms and $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ for the corresponding $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For every abelian variety over Y over K_a we write $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$ for the group of all K_a-homomorphisms from X to Y.

Let p be a prime and $\zeta_p \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive pth root of unity, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \subset \mathbb{C}$ the pth cyclotomic field and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$.

Let us assume that $\mathrm{char}(K) \neq p$ and K contains a primitive pth root of unity ζ. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 3$ without multiple roots, $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$ the (n-element) set of roots of f and $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_a$ the splitting field of f. We write $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathrm{Gal}(f/K)$ for the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ of f; it permutes the roots of f and may be viewed as a certain permutation group of $\mathfrak{R}_f$, i.e., as a subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \cong \mathbf{S}_n$ of permutations of $\mathfrak{R}_f$.

Let $C_{f,p}$ be a smooth projective model of the smooth affine K-curve $y^p = f(x)$; its genus is equal to $(n-1)(p-1)/2$ if p does *not* divide n. The map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, \zeta y)$ gives rise to a non-trivial birational K-automorphism $\delta_p : C_{f,p} \to C_{f,p}$ of period p. The jacobian $J(C_{f,p})$ of $C_{f,p}$ is an abelian variety that is defined over K. By Albanese functoriality, δ_p induces an automorphism of $J(C_{f,p})$ which we still denote by δ_p. It is known [9, p. 149], [12, p. 458]) (see also [30, 32]) that δ_p satisfies the pth cyclotomic equation, i.e., ${\delta_p}^{p-1} + \cdots + \delta_p + 1 = 0$ in $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$. This gives rise to the embeddings

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(J(C_{f,p})) \subset \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})),\ \zeta_p \mapsto \delta_p,$$

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0_K(J(C_{f,p})) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})),\ \zeta_p \mapsto \delta_p,$$

that send 1 to the identity automorphism of $J(C_{f,p})$. In particular, if $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ generated by δ_p then the latter embedding establishes a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$$

that sends ζ_p to δ_p. In a series of papers [18, 21, 28, 25, 27, 23, 30, 32], the author discussed the structure of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. In particular, he proved that if $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, $n \geq 5$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ coincides either with full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_n$ or with alternating group $\mathbf{A}_n$ then $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$. (See also [19, 20, 24, 26, 33].)

The aim of this paper is to deal with arbitrary doubly transitive Galois groups for almost all p (if n is given). For example, we extend the result about $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$ to the case when $n = 4, \mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_4$, a prime p is odd and K contains a primitive pth root of unity (see below).

The following statement is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that K has characteristic zero, $n \geq 4$ and p is an odd prime that does not divide n. Suppose that K contains a primitive pth root of unity and* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *contains a doubly transitive subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ that enjoys the following property: if p divides $n-1$ and $n \neq p+1$ then $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain a proper subgroup, whose index divides $(n-1)/p$.*

Then:

- (i) $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ *is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, i.e., $J(C_{f,p})$ is isogenous over K_a to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.*
- (ii) *Let us assume that $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain a proper normal subgroup, whose index divides $n-1$. Then:*
  - (a) $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p]$ *is the center of* $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$, *i.e.,* $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ *is a central simple $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$-algebra.*
  - (b) *Suppose that either $n = p+1$ or p does not divide $n-1$. Then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p),\ \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

Remark 1.2. See [2, 7] for the list of known doubly transitive permutation groups.

Remark 1.3. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is doubly transitive, its order is divisible by $n(n-1)$. In particular, every subgroup in $\mathcal{G}$, whose index divides $n-1$ is either proper or $\mathcal{G}$ itself.

Remark 1.4. The case of $n = 3$ is discussed in [32]; see also [11]. (Notice that the only doubly transitive subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_3$ is $\mathbf{S}_3$ itself, which contains a normal subgroup of index $2 = 3 - 1$.)

Let us consider the case of $n = 4$. The only doubly transitive subgroups of $\mathbf{S}_4$ are $\mathbf{S}_4$ and $\mathbf{A}_4$: they both contain a subgroup of index $3 = 4 - 1$. The only subgroup in $\mathbf{A}_4$ of index 3 is normal. However, none of the index 3 subgroups in $\mathbf{S}_4$ is normal. (Indeed, an index 3 normal subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_4$ is, obviously, a normal Sylow 2-subgroup and therefore must contain all the transpositions, which could not be the case.) Now Theorem 1.1 implies the following statement.

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that K has characteristic zero, $f(x) \in K[x]$ is an irreducible quartic polynomial, whose Galois group is the full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_4$. If p is an odd prime and K contains a primitive pth root of unity then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p),\ \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

Example 1.6. Let $p=3$ and $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{4} f_i x^i \in K[x]$ be an irreducible degree 4 polynomial over K with Galois group $\mathbf{S}_4$. Then $f_0 \neq 0, f_4 \neq 0$ and the plane projective quartic

$$y^3z-\sum_{i=0}^{4} f_i x^i z^{4-i}=0$$

is a smooth projective model of $C_{f,3}$, whose only *infinite* point is $(0:1:0)$. In particular, $C_{f,3}$ is *not* hyperelliptic. It follows from Corollary 1.5 that

$$\operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,3}))=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$$

if $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ and K contains a primitive cubic root of unity.

For example, the polynomial $f(x)=x^4-x-1$ has Galois group $\mathbf{S}_4$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ [13, p. 42]. Let L be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, so that $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension with $\operatorname{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})=\mathbf{S}_4$. Since $\mathbf{S}_4$ has exactly one subgroup of index 2 (namely, $\mathbf{A}_4$), the field L contains exactly one quadratic (sub)field, namely, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ where Δ is the discriminant of $f(x)$. Recall that the discriminant of a quartic polynomial x^4+ax+b is $-27a^4+256b^3$ [17, Sect. 64]. It follows that $\Delta=-283$ and L contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-283})$. This implies that L does *not* contain $K:=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and therefore L and K are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence the Galois group of $f(x)$ over K is still $\mathbf{S}_4$. Since K contains a primitive cubic root of unity, we conclude that if J is the jacobian of the smooth plane projective quartic

$$y^3z-x^4+xz^3+z^4=0$$

then $\operatorname{End}(J)=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right]$.

Notice that one may use degree seven polynomials with Galois group $\mathbf{S}_7$ or $\mathbf{A}_7$ in order to construct smooth plane quartics, whose jacobians have no nontrivial endomorphisms [31].

Recall that one may realize $\mathbf{S}_4$ as the group of affine transformations of the affine plane over $\mathbb{F}_2$. This suggests the following generalization of Corollary 1.5.

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that there exists a prime $\ell \neq p$ and positive integers r and d such that $d>1$ and $n=\ell^{rd}$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^r}$. Let $\mathbb{F}^d$ be the d-dimensional coordinate $\mathbb{F}$-vector space and $\operatorname{SA}(d,\mathbb{F})$ be the group of all special affine transformation*

$$v \mapsto A(v)+t,\ t\in\mathbb{F}^d, A\in SL(d,\mathbb{F})$$

of $\mathbb{F}^d$. Suppose that one may identify $\mathfrak{R}_f$ and $\mathbb{F}^d$ in such a way that $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ becomes a permutation group of $\mathbb{F}^d$ that contains $\operatorname{SA}(d,\mathbb{F})$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$ and K contains a primitive pth root of unity. If either $n=p+1$ or p does not divide $n-1$ then

$$\operatorname{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))=\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]\cong\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p),\ \operatorname{End}(J(C_{f,p}))=\mathbb{Z}[\delta_p]\cong\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

Proof. The permutation group $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{SA}(d,\mathbb{F})$ is doubly transitive, because $\operatorname{SL}(d,\mathbb{F})$ is transitive on the set of all non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{F}^d$ (recall that $d>1$). The group $T\cong\mathbb{F}^d$ of all translations of $\mathbb{F}^d$ is normal in $\operatorname{SA}(d,\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathcal{G}/T=\operatorname{SL}(d,\mathbb{F})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ contains a proper normal subgroup say, H, whose index divides $n-1=\ell^{rd}-1$. It follows that H contains all elements, whose order is a power of ℓ. This implies that H contains T and therefore H/T is a normal subgroup in

$\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{F})$ that contains all elements of $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{F})$, whose order is a power of ℓ. Since $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{F})$ is generated by *elementary matrices* [6, Ch. XIII, Sect. 8. Lemma 8.1 and Sect. 9, Proposition 9.1] and every (non-identity) elementary matrix over $\mathbb{F}$ has multiplicative order ℓ, we conclude that $H/T=\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{F})$ and therefore $H=\mathrm{SA}(d,\mathbb{F})$. Since H is proper, we get a contradiction. So such H does not exist. Now Theorem 1.7 follows from Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Now let us discuss the case of $n\ge 5$ and *simple* $\mathcal{G}$.

Corollary 1.8. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}(K)=0$ *and* $n\ge 5$*. Suppose that p is an odd prime that does not divide n and such that either $n=p+1$ or p does not divide $n-1$. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *contains a doubly transitive simple non-abelian subgroup* $\mathcal{G}$*. Then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))=\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]\cong\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p),\ \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))=\mathbb{Z}[\delta_p]\cong\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

Proof of Corollary 1.8. Replacing K by a suitable finite algebraic extension, we may assume that $\mathrm{Gal}(f)=\mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is simple non-abelian, $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ would not change if we replace K by an abelian extension. So, we may assume that K contains a primitive pth root of unity. The simplicity of $\mathcal{G}$ means that it does *not* have a proper normal subgroup. Now the assertion follows from Theorem 1.1. $\square$

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls elementary but useful results from [30] concerning the endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties. These results are generalized in Section 3 to the case of the centralizer of a given field that acts on an abelian variety. We pay a special attention to the action of this field on the differentials of the first kind (Theorems 3.5 and 3.12). In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we discuss generalizations of our results to the case when p is replaced by its power q and the curve involved is $y^q=f(x)$.

This work was started during the special semester "Rational and integral points on higher-dimensional varieties" at the MSRI (Spring 2006). I am grateful to the MSRI and the organizers of this program.

2. ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

Let X be an abelian variety of positive dimension over K. If n is a positive integer that is not divisible by $\mathrm{char}(K)$ then X_n stands for the kernel of multiplication by n in $X(K_a)$. It is well-known [8] that X_n is a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $2\dim(X)$. In particular, if $n=\ell$ is a prime then X_ℓ is a $2\dim(X)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector space.

If X is defined over K then X_n is a Galois submodule in $X(K_a)$ and all points of X_n are defined over a finite separable extension of K. We write $\bar{\rho}_{n,X,K}:\mathrm{Gal}(K)\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(X_n)$ for the corresponding homomorphism defining the structure of the Galois module on X_n,

$$\tilde{G}_{n,X,K}\subset\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(X_n)$$

for its image $\bar{\rho}_{n,X,K}(\mathrm{Gal}(K))$ and $K(X_n)$ for the field of definition of all points of X_n. Clearly, $K(X_n)$ is a finite Galois extension of K with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K(X_n)/K)=\tilde{G}_{n,X,K}$. If $n=\ell$ then we get a natural faithful linear representation

$$\tilde{G}_{\ell,X,K}\subset\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(X_\ell)$$

of $\tilde{G}_{\ell,X,K}$ in the $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-vector space X_ℓ.

We write $\mathrm{End}_K(X)$ for the ring of all K-endomorphisms of X. We have

$$\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}\cdot 1_X\subset\mathrm{End}_K(X)\subset\mathrm{End}(X)$$

where 1_X is the identity automorphism of X. Since X is defined over K, one may associate with every $u \in \mathrm{End}(X)$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K)$ an endomorphism ${}^{\sigma}u \in \mathrm{End}(X)$ such that ${}^{\sigma}u(x) = \sigma u(\sigma^{-1}x)$ for $x \in X(K_a)$ and we get the group homomorphism

$$\kappa_X : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}(X)); \quad \kappa_X(\sigma)(u) = {}^{\sigma}u \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K), u \in \mathrm{End}(X).$$

It is well-known that $\mathrm{End}_K(X)$ coincides with the subring of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-invariants in $\mathrm{End}(X)$, i.e., $\mathrm{End}_K(X) = \{u \in \mathrm{End}(X) \mid {}^{\sigma}u = u \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K)\}$. It is also well-known that $\mathrm{End}(X)$ (viewed as a group with respect to addition) is a free commutative group of finite rank and $\mathrm{End}_K(X)$ is its *pure* subgroup, i.e., the quotient $\mathrm{End}(X)/\mathrm{End}_K(X)$ is also a free commutative group of finite rank.

The following observation plays a crucial role in this paper.

Remark 2.1. It is known [16] that all the endomorphisms of X are defined over $K(X_{\ell^2})$; in particular,

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K(X_{\ell^2})) \subset \ker(\kappa_X) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(K).$$

This implies that if $\Gamma_K := \kappa_X(\mathrm{Gal}(K)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}(X))$ then there exists a surjective homomorphism $\kappa_{X,\ell^2,K} : \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X} \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma_K$ such that the composition

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K(X_{\ell^2})/K) = \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X} \overset{\kappa_{X,\ell^2}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Gamma_K$$

coincides with κ_X. Clearly,

$$\mathrm{End}_K(X) = \mathrm{End}(X)^{\Gamma_K}.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{End}(X)$ leaves invariant the subgroup $X_\ell \subset X(K_a)$. It is well-known that $u \in \mathrm{End}(X)$ kills X_ℓ (i.e. $u(X_\ell) = 0$) if and only if $u \in \ell \cdot \mathrm{End}(X)$. This gives us a natural embedding

$$\mathrm{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathrm{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(X_\ell).$$

Clearly, the image of $\mathrm{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ lies in the centralizer of the Galois group, i.e., we get an embedding

$$\mathrm{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}(X_\ell) = \mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\ell,X,K}}(X_\ell).$$

It is well-known [8, §21], that $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ is a semisimple finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. Clearly, the natural map $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}(X)) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}^0(X))$ is an embedding. This allows us to view κ_X as a homomorphism

$$\kappa_X : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}(X)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}^0(X)),$$

whose image coincides with $\Gamma_K \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}(X)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{End}^0(X))$. Clearly, the subalgebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X)^{\Gamma_K}$ of Γ_K-invariants coincides with $\mathrm{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 2.2. (i) Let us split the semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ into a finite direct product $\mathrm{End}^0(X) = \prod_{s \in \mathcal{I}} D_s$ of simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras D_s. (Here $\mathcal{I}$ is identified with the set of minimal two-sided ideals in $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$.) Let e_s be the identity element of D_s. One may view e_s as an idempotent in $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$. Clearly,

$$1_X = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{I}} e_s \in \mathrm{End}^0(X), \quad e_s e_t = 0 \ \forall s \neq t.$$

There exists a positive integer N such that all $N \cdot e_s$ lie in $\operatorname{End}(X)$. We write X_s for the image $X_s := (Ne_s)(X)$; it is an abelian subvariety in X of positive dimension. Clearly, the sum map

$$\pi_X : \prod_s X_s \to X, \quad (x_s) \mapsto \sum_s x_s$$

is an isogeny. It is also clear that the intersection $D_s \bigcap \operatorname{End}(X)$ leaves $X_s \subset X$ invariant. This gives us a natural identification $D_s \cong \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$. One may easily check that each X_s is isogenous to a self-product of (absolutely) simple abelian variety. Clearly, if $s \ne t$ then $\operatorname{Hom}(X_s, X_t) = 0$.

(ii) We write C_s for the center of D_s. Clearly, C_s coincides with the center of $\operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$ and the center C of $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$ coincides with $\prod_{s\in\mathcal{I}} C_s = \oplus_{s\in S} C_s$.

(iii) Obviously, all the sets

$$\{e_s \mid s \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \oplus_{s\in\mathcal{I}} \mathbb{Q} \cdot e_s \subset \oplus_{s\in\mathcal{I}} C_s = C$$

are stable under the Galois action $\operatorname{Gal}(K) \xrightarrow{\kappa_X} \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{End}^0(X))$. In particular, there is a continuous homomorphism from $\operatorname{Gal}(K)$ to the group $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{I})$ of permutations of $\mathcal{I}$ such that its kernel contains $\ker(\kappa_X)$ and

$$e_{\sigma(s)} = \kappa_X(\sigma)(e_s) = {}^{\sigma}e_s, \ {}^{\sigma}(C_s) = C_{\sigma(s)}, \ {}^{\sigma}(D_s) = D_{\sigma(s)} \quad \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(K), s \in \mathcal{I}.$$

By Remark 2.1, the homomorphism $\operatorname{Gal}(K) \to \operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{I})$ factors through $\tilde{G}_{X,\ell^2,K}$. It follows that $X_{\sigma(s)} = Ne_{\sigma(s)}(X) = \sigma(Ne_s(X)) = \sigma(X_s)$; in particular, abelian subvarieties X_s and $X_{\sigma(s)}$ have the same dimension. Clearly, $u \mapsto {}^{\sigma}u$ gives rise to an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $\operatorname{End}^0(X_{\sigma(s)}) \cong \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$.

(iv) Assume that $\operatorname{End}_K(X)$ has no zero divisors. Then the following conditions hold [29, remark 1.4(iv)]: $\mathcal{I}$ consists of one Galois orbit. In particular, $\tilde{G}_{X,\ell^2,K}$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{I}$ and therefore has a subgroup of index $\#(I)$.

3. ABELIAN VARIETIES WITH MULTIPLICATIONS

Let E be a number field. Let (X, i) be a pair consisting of an abelian variety X of positive dimension over K_a and an embedding $i : E \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(X)$. Here $1 \in E$ must go to 1_X.

Remark 3.1. It is well known [15, Prop. 2 on p. 36]) that the degree $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$ divides $2\dim(X)$, i.e.

$$d_{X,E} := \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E : \mathbb{Q}]}$$

is a positive integer.

Let us denote by $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$ the centralizer of $i(E)$ in $\operatorname{End}^0(X)$. Clearly, $i(E)$ lies in the center of the finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$. It follows that $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$ carries a natural structure of finite-dimensional E-algebra.

Remark 3.2. The E-algebra $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i)$ is semisimple. Indeed, in notations of Remark 2.2 $\operatorname{End}^0(X) = \prod_{s\in\mathcal{I}} D_s$ where all $D_s = \operatorname{End}^0(X_s)$ are simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras. If $\operatorname{pr}_s : \operatorname{End}^0(X) \twoheadrightarrow D_s$ is the corresponding projection map and $D_{s,E}$ is the centralizer of $\operatorname{pr}_s i(E)$ in D_s then one may easily check that $\operatorname{End}^0(X, i) = \prod_{s\in\mathcal{I}} D_{s,E}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{pr}_s i(E) \cong E$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. It follows from Theorem 4.3.2 on p. 104 of [3] that $D_{s,E}$ is also a *simple* $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra. This implies that $D_{s,E}$ is a *simple* E-algebra and therefore $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a semisimple E-algebra. We write i_s for the composition $\mathrm{pr}_s i : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X) \twoheadrightarrow D_s \cong \mathrm{End}^0(X_s)$. Clearly, $D_{s,E} = \mathrm{End}^0(X_s, i_s)$ and

$$\mathrm{End}^0(X,i) = \prod_{s\in\mathcal{I}} \mathrm{End}^0(X_s, i_s) \qquad (1).$$

It follows that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a simple E-algebra if and only if $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, i.e., X is isogenous to a self-product of (absolutely) simple abelian variety.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in E. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a non-zero ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. It is well known that the quotient $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ is a finite commutative ring.

Let λ be a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}$. We write $k(\lambda)$ for the corresponding (finite) residue field $\mathcal{O}/\lambda$ and ℓ for $\mathrm{char}(k(\lambda))$. We have

$$\mathcal{O} \supset \lambda \supset \ell \cdot \mathcal{O}.$$

Remark 3.3. Let us assume that λ is the only maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ dividing ℓ, i.e., $\ell\cdot\mathcal{O} = \lambda^b$ where the positive integer b satisfies $[E:\mathbb{Q}] = b\cdot[k(\lambda):\mathbb{F}_\ell]$.

(i) We have $\mathcal{O}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ where $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is the completion of $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to λ-adic topology. It is well known that $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ is a local principal ideal domain, its only maximal ideal is $\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ and

$$k(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}/\lambda = \mathcal{O}_\lambda/\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda.$$

One may easily check that $\ell\cdot\mathcal{O}_\lambda = (\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda)^b$.

(ii) Let us choose an element $c\in\lambda$ that does not lie in λ^2. Clearly,

$$\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda = c\cdot\mathcal{O}_\lambda,\ \ \ell\cdot\mathcal{O}_\lambda = c^b\cdot\mathcal{O}_\lambda.$$

This implies that there exists a unit $u\in\mathcal{O}_\lambda^*$ such that $\ell = uc^b$. It follows from the unique factorization of ideals in $\mathcal{O}$ that

$$\lambda = \ell\cdot\mathcal{O} + c\cdot\mathcal{O}.$$

(iii) Notice that

$$E_\lambda = E\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{Q}_\ell = \mathcal{O}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\lambda\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

is the field coinciding with the completion of E with respect to λ-adic topology.

Suppose that X is defined over K and $i(\mathcal{O})\subset\mathrm{End}_K(X)$. Then we may view elements of $\mathcal{O}$ as K-endomorphisms of X. We write $\mathrm{End}(X,i)$ for the centralizer of $i(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathrm{End}(X)$ and $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)$ for the centralizer of $i(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathrm{End}_K(X)$. Obviously, $\mathrm{End}(X,i)$ is a pure subgroup in $\mathrm{End}(X)$ and $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i) = \mathrm{End}(X,i)\bigcap\mathrm{End}_K(X)$ is a pure subgroup in $\mathrm{End}(X,i)$, i.e. the quotients $\mathrm{End}(X)/\mathrm{End}(X,i)$ and $\mathrm{End}(X,i)/\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)$ are torsion-free. We have

$$i(\mathcal{O})\subset\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)\subset\mathrm{End}(X,i)\subset\mathrm{End}(X).$$

It is also clear that

$$\mathrm{End}(X,i) = \mathrm{End}^0(X,i)\bigcap\mathrm{End}(X),$$

$$\mathrm{End}^0(X,i) = \mathrm{End}(X,i)\otimes\mathbb{Q}\subset\mathrm{End}(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{End}^0(X).$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{End}(X,i)$ carries a natural structure of finitely generated torsion-free $\mathcal{O}$-module. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a Dedekind ring, it follows that there exist non-zero ideals $\mathfrak{b}_1,\dots,b_t$ in $\mathcal{O}$ such that

$$\mathbb{Z}\cdot 1_X\subset\mathrm{End}(X,i)\cong\mathfrak{b}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{b}_t$$

(as $\mathcal{O}$-modules).

Clearly, $\kappa(\sigma)(\mathrm{End}(X,i))=\mathrm{End}(X,i)$ for all $\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ and

$$\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)=\{u\in\mathrm{End}(X,i)\mid {}^{\sigma}u\ =u\quad\forall\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(K)\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that X is defined over K and $i(\mathcal{O})\subset\mathrm{End}_K(X)$. If $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)$ has no zero divisors then, in notations of Remark 2.2, $\mathcal{I}$ consists of one Galois orbit; in particular, all X_s have the same dimension equal $\dim(X)/\#(\mathcal{I})$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ also has no zero divisors. If $\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{I}$ is a non-empty Galois-invariant subset then $e_{\mathcal{J}}:=\sum_{s\in\mathcal{J}}e_s$ is a non-zero element of $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)\otimes\mathbb{Q}$. Now, if $\mathcal{I}$ contains two non-empty disjoint Galois-invariant subsets $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ then $e_{\mathcal{J}_1}e_{\mathcal{J}_2}=0$. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that E is a number field that is normal over $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains a subfield isomorphic to E. Suppose that X is defined over K and $i(\mathcal{O})\subset\mathrm{End}_K(X)$. Let $\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)$ be the tangent space to X at the origin, which carries a natural structure of $E\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}K$-module. For each field embedding $\tau:E\hookrightarrow K$ we put*

$$\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)_\tau=\{z\in\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)\mid i(e)z=\tau(e)z\ \forall e\in E\},$$

$$n_\tau(X,i)=\dim_K(\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)_\tau).$$

If $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)$ has no zero divisors then every n_τ is divisible by $\#(\mathcal{I})$. In particular, if the greatest common divisor of all n_τ is 1 then $\#(\mathcal{I})=1$, i.e., both $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ are simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras, and X is isogenous over K_a to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.

Remark 3.6. The nonnegative integers $n_\tau(X,i)$ do not depend on the choice of K.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. The embedding i gives rise to the embeddings

$$i_s:E\hookrightarrow\mathrm{End}^0(X_s),\ i_s(1)=1_{X_s},i_s(\mathcal{O})\subset\mathrm{End}(X_s)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X)_\tau=\oplus_{s\in\mathcal{I}}\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X_s)_\tau.$$

On the other hand, if $s=\sigma(t)$ for $s,t\in\mathcal{I},\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ then

$$\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X_s)_\tau=\sigma(\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X_t)_\tau).$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we conclude that for each τ (and s)

$$\dim_{K_a}(\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X)_\tau)=\#(\mathcal{I})\cdot\dim_{K_a}(\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X_s)_\tau)$$

is divisible by $\#(I)$. In order to finish the proof, one has only to notice that

$$\mathrm{Lie}_{K_a}(X)_\tau=\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)_\tau\otimes_K K_a,$$

since E is normal and K contains the subfield isomorphic to E, $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *In the notation and assumptions of Theorem 3.5, let us assume that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a central simple E-algebra. Let us define the positive integer m as the square root of $\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i))$. Then all $n_\tau(X,i)$ are divisible by m. In particular, if the greatest common divisor of all $n_\tau(X,i)$ is 1 then $m=1$, i.e., $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)=i(E)\cong E$.*

Proof. We may assume that $K = K_a$ is algebraically closed. Then for each field embedding $\tau : E \hookrightarrow K$ the K-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)\otimes_{E,\tau} K$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $\mathrm{M}_m(K)$. On the other hand, every $\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)_\tau$ carries a natural structure of $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)\otimes_{E,\tau} K$-module. It follows that the K-dimension of $\mathrm{Lie}_K(X)_\tau$ is divisible by m. □

Let us assume that $\mathrm{char}(K)$ does not divide the order of $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$ and put

$$X_{\mathfrak{a}} := \{x \in X(K_a) \mid i(e)x = 0 \quad \forall e \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

For example, assume that $\ell \neq \mathrm{char}(K)$. Then the order of $\mathcal{O}/\lambda = k(\lambda)$ is a power of ℓ and

$$X_\lambda \subset X_\ell.$$

Assume in addition that λ is the only maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ dividing ℓ and pick

$$c \in \lambda \setminus \lambda^2 \subset \lambda \subset \mathcal{O}.$$

By Remark 3.3(ii), λ is generated by ℓ and c. Therefore

$$X_\lambda = \{x \in X_\ell \mid cx = 0\} \subset X_\ell \qquad (2).$$

Clearly, $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a Galois submodule of $X(K_a)$. It is also clear that $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$ carries a natural structure of $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$-module. (It is known [14, Prop. 7.20] that this module is free.)

Obviously, every endomorphism from $\mathrm{End}(X,i)$ leaves invariant the subgroup $X_{\mathfrak{a}} \subset X(K_a)$ and induces an endomorphism of the $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$-module $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$. This gives rise to a natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}(X,i) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}}(X_{\mathfrak{a}}),$$

whose kernel contains $\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathrm{End}(X,i)$. We claim that actually, the kernel coincides with $\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathrm{End}(X,i)$, i. e. there is an embedding

$$\mathrm{End}(X,i)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}} X_{\mathfrak{a}} \qquad (3).$$

In order to prove it, let us denote by n the order of $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$. We have $n\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{a}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is a Dedekind ring, there exists a non-zero ideal $\mathfrak{a}'$ in $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}'\mathfrak{a} = n\mathcal{O}$. Clearly

$$\mathfrak{a}' X_n \subset X_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

It follows that if $u \in \mathrm{End}(X,\mathcal{O})$ kills $X_{\mathfrak{a}}$ then $hu = uh$ kills X_n for each $h \in \mathfrak{a}'$. This implies that

$$\mathfrak{a}'u \subset n\mathrm{End}(X)\bigcap \mathrm{End}(X,\mathcal{O}) = n\mathrm{End}(X,\mathcal{O}) = n(\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{b}_t) = n\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus n\mathfrak{b}_t.$$

Since

$$n\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus n\mathfrak{b}_t = \mathfrak{a}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_t,$$

we have $u \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}_t = \mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathrm{End}(X,\mathcal{O})$ and we are done.

Now we concentrate on the case of $\mathfrak{a} = \lambda$, assuming that

$$\ell \neq \mathrm{char}(K).$$

Then X_λ carries the natural structure of a $k(\lambda)$-vector space provided with the structure of Galois module and (3) gives us the embedding

$$\mathrm{End}(X,i)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda). \qquad (4)$$

Further we will identify $\mathrm{End}(X,i)\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k(\lambda)$ with its image in $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$. We write

$$\tilde\rho_{\lambda,X} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$$

for the corresponding (continuous) homomorphism defining the Galois action on X_λ. It is known [14, Prop. 7.20] (see also [10]) that

$$\dim_{k(\lambda)} X_\lambda = \frac{2\dim(X)}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]} := d_{X,E}.$$

Let us put

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K} := \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X}(\mathrm{Gal}(K)) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ coincides with the Galois group of the field extension $K(X_\lambda)/K$ where $K(X_\lambda)$ is the field of definition of all points in X_λ.

It is also clear that the image of $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} k(\lambda)$ lies in the centralizer $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}}(X_\lambda)$ of $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{k(\lambda)}(X_\lambda)$. This implies the following statement.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(X)$. If λ is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\ell \ne \mathrm{char}(K)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}}(X_\lambda) = k(\lambda)$ then $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i) = \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)$ is a finitely generated torsion free $\mathcal{O}$-module and therefore is isomorphic to a direct sum of say, r (non-zero) ideals in $\mathcal{O}$. Clearly, $r=1$ and therefore $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{End}_K(X,i) \subset E$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is the maximal order in E, we conclude that $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i) = \mathcal{O}$. □

Since $X_\lambda \subset X_\ell \subset X_{\ell^2}$, we get the inclusion $K(X_\lambda) \subset K(X_{\ell^2})$, which gives rise to the surjective group homomorphism

$$\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda} : \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}.$$

Remark 3.9. Recall [4] (see also [22, p. 199]) that a surjective homomorphism of finite groups $\pi : \mathcal{G}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called a *minimal cover* if no proper subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_1$ maps onto $\mathcal{G}$. One may easily check that if H is a subgroup in $\mathcal{G}_1$ then $(\mathcal{G} : \pi(H))$ divides $(\mathcal{G}_1 : H)$ (and the ratio is the index of $\ker(\pi)\bigcap H$ in $\ker(\pi)$). Also, if H is normal in $\mathcal{G}_1$ then $\pi(H)$ is normal in $\mathcal{G}$.

The following notion is a natural generalization of Definition 2.2 in [5].

Definition 3.10. Suppose that λ is the only maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ dividing ℓ. We say that K is λ-balanced with respect to X if $\ell \ne \mathrm{char}(K)$ and

$$\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda} : \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$$

is a minimal cover.

Remark 3.11. There always exists a subgroup $H \subset \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$ such that $H \to \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ is surjective and a minimal cover. (Indeed, one has only to choose a subgroup $H \subset \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$ of smallest possible order such that $\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H) = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$.) Let us put $L = K(X_{\ell^2})^H$. Clearly,

$$K \subset L \subset K(X_{\ell^2}),\ L\bigcap K(X_\lambda) = K$$

and L is a maximal overfield of K that enjoys these properties. It is also clear that

$$K(X_\lambda) \subset L(X_\lambda),\ L(X_{\ell^2}) = K(X_{\ell^2}),\ H = \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,L}, \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$$

and L is λ-*balanced* with respect to X.

In order to describe $\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda,X}$ explicitly, let us assume for the sake of simplicity that λ is the only maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$ dividing ℓ. We write b for the corresponding ramification index and pick $c \in \lambda \setminus \lambda^2$.

Let $T_\ell(X)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-Tate module of X. Recall that $T_\ell(X)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module of rank $2\dim(X)$ provided with the continuous action

$$\rho_{\ell,X} : \mathrm{Gal}(K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X))$$

and the natural embedding

$$\mathrm{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(T_\ell(X)),$$

whose image commutes with $\rho_{\ell,X}(\mathrm{Gal}(K))$. In particular, $T_\ell(X)$ carries the natural structure of an $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell = \mathcal{O}_\lambda$-module; it is known [10, Prop. 2.2.1 on p. 769] that the $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$-module $T_\ell(X)$ is free of rank $d_{X,E}$. There is also the natural isomorphism of Galois modules

$$X_\ell = T_\ell(X)/\ell T_\ell(X),$$

which is also an isomorphism of $\mathrm{End}_K(X) \supset \mathcal{O}$-modules. Applying (2) and Remark 3.3(ii), we conclude that the $\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Gal}(K)]$-module X_λ coincides with

$$c^{-1}\ell T_\ell(X)/\ell T_\ell(X) = c^{b-1}T_\ell(X)/c^b T_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X)/cT_\ell(X) =$$
$$T_\ell(X)/c\mathcal{O}_\lambda T_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X)/(\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda)T_\ell(X).$$

Hence

$$X_\lambda = T_\ell(X)/(\lambda\mathcal{O}_\lambda)T_\ell(X) = T_\ell(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\lambda} k(\lambda).$$

Theorem 3.12. *Suppose that E is a number field that is normal over $\mathbb{Q}$. Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero that contains a subfield isomorphic to E. Suppose that X is defined over K and $i(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathrm{End}_K(X)$. We write $n_{X,E}$ for the greatest common divisor of all $n_\tau(X,i)$ where τ runs through the set of embeddings $\tau : E \hookrightarrow K$. Suppose that λ is the only maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}$ that lies above prime ℓ, the group $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ does not contain a subgroup, whose index divides $n_{X,E}$, except $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ itself and $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_\lambda) = k(\lambda)$. Then:*

- (i) *$\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, i.e., X is isogenous over K_a to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ does not contain a normal subgroup, whose index divides $d_{X,E}$ except $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}$ itself. Then:*
  - (1) *$i(E)$ is the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$, i.e., $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a simple central E-algebra. In particular, $i(E)$ contains the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$.*
  - (2) *If $n_{X,E} = 1$ then $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i) = i(E) \cong E$ and $\mathrm{End}(X,i) = i(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Using Remark 3.11, we may assume (extending if necessary the ground field) that K is λ-balanced with respect to X. In particular, there is no proper subgroup $H \subset \tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$ with $\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H) = \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X}}(X_\lambda) = k(\lambda)$, it follows from Lemma 3.8 that $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i) = i(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathcal{O}$ and therefore has no zero divisors. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\mathcal{I}$ consists of one Galois orbit. Assume that $\mathcal{I}$ is not a singleton. By Remark 2.2, $\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$ contains a subgroup H of index $\#(\mathcal{I})$. It follows from Remarks 3.11 and 3.9 that $H_0 := \tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H) \neq \tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$; in addition the index of H_0 in $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ divides $\#(\mathcal{I})$. By Theorem 3.5, $\#(\mathcal{I})$ divides $n_{X,E}$. It follows that the index of H_0 in $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ divides $n_{X,E}$. But such H_0 does not exist: the obtained contradiction proves that $\mathcal{I}$ is a singleton, i.e., $X = X_s$, $\mathrm{End}^0(X) = \mathrm{End}^0(X_s)$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra and $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)=\mathrm{End}^0(X_s,i_s)$ is a simple E-algebra. This proves (i).

Let us prove (ii). The center C of the simple E-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a field that contains $i(E)\cong E$. By Remark 3.1, $[C:\mathbb{Q}]$ divides $2\mathrm{dim}(X)$. This implies that $[C:E]$ divides $d_{X,E}$. Suppose that $C\ne E$, i.e., $[C:E]>1$. Since $\mathrm{End}_K(X,i)=\mathcal{O}$, we have

$$E=\mathrm{End}^0_K(X,i)=C^{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}=E^{\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}},$$

i.e., C/K is a Galois extension and there is a surjective homomorphism $\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}\twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(C/E)$, whose kernel H_1 is a normal subgroup in $\tilde{G}_{\ell^2,X,K}$ of index $[C:E]>1$. Clearly, $H_2:=\tau_{\ell^2,\lambda}(H_1)$ is a normal subgroup in $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ and its index is greater than 1 but divides $[C:E]$. Since $[C:E]$ divides $d_{X,E}$, the index of H_2 in $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,X,K}$ divides $d_{X,E}$. But such H_2 does not exist: the obtained contradiction proves that $[C:E]=1$, i.e., $C=E$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a *central* simple E-algebra. This proves (ii)(a). It follows from Lemma 3.7 that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)=i(E)\cong E$. Since $\mathcal{O}\cong i(\mathcal{O})\subset \mathrm{End}(X,i)\subset i(E)\cong E$ and $\mathcal{O}$ is the maximal order in E, we conclude that $\mathcal{O}\cong i(O)=\mathrm{End}(X,i)$. □

4. SUPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

We keep notation of Section 1. In particular, K is a field of characteristic zero that contains ζ: a primitive pth root of unity; $f(x)\in K[x]$ is a polynomial of degree $n\ge 3$ and without multiple roots, $\mathfrak{R}_f\subset K_a$ is the (n-element) set of roots of f and $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)\subset K_a$ is the splitting field of f. We assume that p does not divide n and write $\mathrm{Gal}(f)=\mathrm{Gal}(f/K)$ for the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ of f; it permutes roots of f and may be viewed as a certain permutation group of $\mathfrak{R}_f$, i.e., as a subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)\cong \mathbf{S}_n$ of permutation of $\mathfrak{R}_f$.

We write $C_{f,p}$ for the superelliptic K-curve $y^p=f(x)$ and $J(C_{f,p})$ for its jacobian. Recall that $J(C_{f,p})$ is an abelian variety that is defined over K and

$$\mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f,p}))=\frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{2}.$$

Let us denote by $d(n,p)$ the greatest common divisor of all integers $[ni/p]$ where i runs through the set of integers

$$\{i\mid 0<i<p\}.$$

Remark 4.1. (i) Suppose that p divides $n-1$, i.e., $n=pk+1$ with positive integer k. Then $[ni/p]=ik$ and therefore $d(n,p)=k=(n-1)/p$.

(ii) Suppose that p does *not* divide $n-1$, i.e., $n=pk+r$ with nonnegative integer k and $1<r<p$. Then $p>2$, since p does not divide n. Let us put $j:=[p/r]\le[p/2]<p-1$. We have $[n\cdot 1/p]=k$, $[n(j+1)/p]=(j+1)k+1$ and therefore $d(n,p)=1$.

Let us put

$$E=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p),\ \mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p],\ \ell=p,\ \lambda=(1-\zeta_p)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p],\ k(\lambda)=\mathbb{F}_p$$

and

$$X=J(C_{f,p}),\ i:\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]\hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(J(C_{f,p})),\ i(\zeta_p)=\delta_p.$$

We have $d_{J(C_{f,p}),\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}=n-1$. It is known [9, 12] that $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\tilde{G}_{J(C_{f,p}),\lambda,K}$. It is also known [25, 30], [32, Theorem 4.14] that the centralizer of $\tilde{G}_{J(C_{f,p}),\lambda,K}$ in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(J(C_{f,p})_\lambda)$ coincides with $\mathbb{F}_p$ if $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is *doubly transitive*. Also, if $p > 2$ and $\tau_i : E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) \hookrightarrow K$ is an embedding that sends ζ_p to ζ^{-i} then $n_{\tau_i}(J(C_{f,p}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) = [ni/p]$ if $1 \le i < p$ [27, Remark 3.7]. This implies that $n_{J(C_{f,p}),\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} = d(n,p)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Extending the ground field, we may and will assume that $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathcal{G}$. Recall that $p > 2$. Now the assertion (i) follows from Theorem 3.12(i) combined with Remarks 4.1 and 1.3. The assertion (ii)(a) follows from Theorem 3.12(ii)(1) combined with Remark 1.3. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$ contains the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. It follows from [27, Theorem 3.6] and [32, Theorem 3.2] that $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$ coincides with the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. This means that the centralizer of $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_p]$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ is the whole $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. Now Theorem 3.12(ii)(2) combined with Remark 1.3 implies that $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\delta_p]$ is the maximal order in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] \subset \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$, we conclude that $\mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] = \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that p is odd and there exists a prime $\ell \ne p$ and positive integers r and d such that $n = \ell^{2rd}$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^r}$. Let $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$ be the $2d$-dimensional coordinate $\mathbb{F}$-vector space and* $\mathrm{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ *be the group of all symplectic affine transformation*

$$v \mapsto A(v) + t, \;\; t \in \mathbb{F}^d, A \in \mathrm{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$$

of $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$. Suppose that one may identify $\mathfrak{R}_f$ and $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$ in such a way that $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *becomes a permutation group of $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$ that contains* $\mathrm{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$.

Suppose that $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ *and K contains a primitive pth root of unity. If either $n = p + 1$ or p does not divide $n - 1$ then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Q}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p), \;\; \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbb{Z}[\delta_p] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p].$$

Proof. The permutation group $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{ASP}(d, \mathbb{F})$ is doubly transitive, because the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(d, \mathbb{F})$ is transitive on the set of all non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$. The group $T \cong \mathbb{F}^{2d}$ of all translations of $\mathbb{F}^{2d}$ is normal in $\mathrm{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ and $\mathcal{G}/T = \mathrm{Sp}(d, \mathbb{F})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ contains a proper normal subgroup say, H, whose index divides $n - 1 = \ell^{2rd} - 1$. It follows that H contains all elements, whose order is a power of ℓ. This implies that H contains T and therefore H/T is a normal subgroup in $\mathrm{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ that contains all elements of $\mathrm{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$, whose order is a power of ℓ. Since $\mathrm{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ is generated by *symplectic transvections* [1, Theorem 3.25] and every (non-identity) symplectic transvection over $\mathbb{F}$ has multiplicative order ℓ, we conclude that $H/T = \mathrm{Sp}(2d, \mathbb{F})$ and therefore $H = \mathrm{ASP}(2d, \mathbb{F})$. Since H is proper, we get a contradiction. So such H does not exist. Now Theorem 4.2 follows from Theorem 1.1. □

In the case of hyperelliptic jacobians (i.e., when $p = 2$), we still can get some information about the structure of the endomorphism algebra.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that K has characteristic zero, n is an odd integer that is greater or equal than 5 and* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *contains a doubly transitive subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ that does not contain a proper subgroup, whose index divides $(n-1)/2$. Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,2}))$ *is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, i.e., $J(C_{f,2})$ is isogenous over K_a to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.*

(ii) *If* $\mathcal{G}$ *does not contain a subgroup of index* 2 *then* $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ *is a central simple* $\mathbb{Q}$*-algebra.*

Proof. Since $p = 2$, we have $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_2) = \mathbb{Q}$, $\dim(J(C_{f,2})) = (n-1)/2$ and the assertion follows readily from Theorem 1.6 in [29]. $\square$

5. Complements

Let $r > 1$ be a positive integer and $q = p^r$. Let $\zeta_q \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive qth root of unity, $E := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q) \subset \mathbb{C}$ the qth cyclotomic field and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$. Suppose that K is a field of characteristic zero than contains a primitive qth root of unity ζ. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 4$ without multiple roots. Let us assume that p does *not* divide n. We write $C_{f,q}$ for the smooth projective model of smooth affine K-curve $y^q = f(x)$. The map $(x,y) \mapsto (x, y^q)$ gives rise to the surjective regular map $\pi : C_{f,q} \to C_{f,q/p}$. By Albanese functoriality, π induces the surjective homomorphism of corresponding jacobians $J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q/p})$, which we still denote by π. We write $J^{(f,q)}$ for the identity component of the kernel of

$$\pi : J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q/p}).$$

It is known [30, pp. 355–356], [32, Theorem 5.13 and Remark 5.14 on p. 383] that:

(i) The automorphism

$$\delta_q : C_{f,q} \to C_{f,q}, \ (x,y) \mapsto (x, \zeta y)$$

gives rise to an embedding

$$\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(J^{(f,q)}) \subset \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)})$$

that sends 1 to the identity automorphism of $J^{(f,q)}$.

(ii) The Galois modules $J^{(f,q)}_{(1-\zeta_q)}$ and $J(C_{f,p})_{(1-\zeta_p)}$ are isomorphic. Here $(1-\zeta_q)$ (resp. $(1-\zeta_p)$) is the corresponding principal maximal ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ (resp. in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$). In particular, if $\lambda = (1-\zeta_q)\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q] \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q]$ then $\tilde{G}_{\lambda, J^{(f,q)}, K} = \mathrm{Gal}(f)$; if $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is doubly transitive then its centralizer in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(J^{(f,q)}_{\lambda})$ coincides with $\mathbb{F}_p$.

(iii) Let us consider the induced action of $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$ on $\mathrm{Lie}(J^{(f,q)})$. Let i be a positive integer such that $1 \leq i < q$ and p does not divide i. If $\tau_i : E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow K$ is an embedding that sends ζ_q to ζ^{-i} then $n_{\tau_i}(J(C^{(f,q)}), E) = [ni/q]$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* p *be a prime,* $r > 1$ *an integer and* $q = p^r$*. Let* $n \geq 4$ *be an integer that is not divisible by* p*. Let* $n = kq + c$ *where* k *and* c *are nonnegative integers and* $0 < c < q$*.*

Let $d(n,q)$ *be the greatest common divisor of all integers* $[ni/q]$ *where* i *runs through the set of positive integers* i *that are not divisible by* p *and strictly less than* q*. Then:*

(1) $d(n,q)$ *divides* k*. In particular, if* $q < n < 2q$ *then* $d(n,q) = 1$*.*
(2) *If* $n-1$ *is divisibly by* q*, i.e.,* $c = 1$*, then* $d(n,q) = k = (n-1)/q$*.*
(3) *If* p *is odd and* $n-1$ *is not divisible by* q *then* $d(n,q) = 1$*.*
(4) *Suppose that* $p = 2$ *and* q *does not divide* $n-1$*. Then:*
    (a) $d(n,q) = 1$ *or* 2*.*

(b) *If either k is odd or $c < q/2$ then $d(n,q)=1$. In particular, if $n < q/2$ then $d(n,q)=1$.*

We prove Lemma 5.1 at the end of this Section.

Combining observations (i)-(iii) of this Section with Theorem 3.12(i), Lemma 5.1 and Remark 1.3, we obtain the following "generalization" of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that K has characteristic zero, $n \ge 4$ and p is a prime that does not divide n. Let $r > 1$ be a positive integer, $q = p^r$ and suppose that K contains a primitive qth root of unity. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *contains a doubly transitive subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ that enjoys the following property: if q divides $n-1$ and $n \ne q+1$ then $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain a proper subgroup, whose index divides $(n-1)/q$. If $p=2$ then we assume additionally that $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain a subgroup of index 2. Then:*

(i) $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$ *is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, i.e., $J^{(f,q)}$ is isogenous over K_a to a self-product of an absolutely simple abelian variety.*

(ii) *Let us assume that $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain a proper normal subgroup, whose index divides $n-1$. Then:*

  (a) $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_q] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q)$ *is the center of* $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$, *i.e.,* $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$ *is a central simple $\mathbb{Q}[\delta_q]$-algebra.*

  (b) *Suppose that either $n = q+1$ or q does not divide $n-1$. If $p=2$ then we assume additionally that $n = kq + c$ with nonnegative integers k and $c < q$ such that either k is odd or $c < q/2$. Then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q),\ \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q].$$

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that K has characteristic zero, $f(x) \in K[x]$ is an irreducible quartic polynomial, whose Galois group is the full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_4$. Let p be an odd prime, $r > 1$ a positive integer and $q = p^r$. Suppose that K contains a primitive qth root of unity. Then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q),\ \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q].$$

Proof of Corollary 5.3. The same proof as for Corollary 1.5 works in this case, provided one replaces the reference to Theorem 1.1 by a reference to Theorem 5.2. $\square$

Corollary 5.4. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{char}(K)=0$ *and $n \ge 5$. Suppose that p is a prime that does not divide n. Let $r > 1$ be a positive integer and $q = p^r$. Assume also that either $n = q+1$ or q does not divide $n-1$. If $p=2$ then we assume additionally that $n = kq+c$ with nonnegative integers k and $c < q$ such that either k is odd or $c < q/2$. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *contains a doubly transitive simple non-abelian subgroup $\mathcal{G}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_q),\ \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_q].$$

Proof of Corollary 5.4. The same proof as for Corollary 1.8 works in this case, provided one replaces the reference to Theorem 1.1 by a reference to Theorem 5.2 and notices that simple non-abelian $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain a subgroup of index 2. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Clearly, p does not divide c. We have $[n \cdot 1/q] = k$ and therefore $d(n,q)$ divides k. This proves (1). If $c = 1$ then $k = (n-1)/q$ and $[ni/q] = ik$. This implies that $d(n,q) = k = (n-1)/q$. This proves (2).

Now assume that $c > 1$. Let m be the smallest integer such that $mc > q$. Clearly,

$$q/2 > m \geq 2, \ (m-1)c < q < mc < 2q$$

and therefore

$$(km+1)q < nm < (km+2)q.$$

It follows that $[nm/q] = km+1$ and therefore if m is not divisible by p then $d(n,q) = 1$.

Now let us assume that m is divisible by p. Then $m+1$ is *not* divisible by p and still $m+1 < q$. Clearly,

$$c \neq q/2.$$

If $c < q/2$ then $mc = (m-1)c + c < q + q/2$ and

$$(m+1)c = mc + c < (q + q/2) + q/2 = 2q.$$

This implies that

$$(km+1)q < n(m+1) < (km+2)q$$

and therefore $[n(m+1)/q] = km+1$, which, in turn, implies that $d(n,q) = 1$.

If $c > q/2$ then $m = 2$. If p is odd, 2 is not divisible by p and (as we have already seen) $[n \cdot 2/q] = 2k+1$ and therefore $d(n,q) = 1$. This proves (3).

So, the only remaining case is when $p = 2$ and $c > q/2$. Since $q \geq 4$, we may take $i = 3 < q$. We have $n \cdot 3 = 3kq + 3c$ and either $q < 3c < 2q$ or $2q < 3c < 3q$. In the former case $[n \cdot 3/q] = 3k+1$ and $d(n,q) = 1$.

In the latter case $[n \cdot 3/q] = 3k+2$ and $d(n,q)$ divides both k and $3k+2$. This implies that $d(n,q) = 1$ or 2; in addition, if k is odd then $d(n,q) = 1$. This proves (4). □

6. Corrigendum to [30] and [33]

[30], Remark 4.1 on p. 351: the condition $n \geq 5$ was inadvertently omitted.

[33], p. 538, Theorem 1.1, condition (ii): the n should be m.

References

[1] Artin, E.: Geometric Algebra. Interscience Publishers, New York (1957)

[2] Dixon, J. D., Mortimer, B.: Permutation Groups. Springer, New York Berlin Heidelberg (1996).

[3] Herstein, I. N.: Noncommutative rings. John Wiley and Sons (1968)

[4] Feit, W., Tits, J.: Projective representations of minimum degree of group extensions. Canad. J. Math. **30**, 1092–1102 (1978)

[5] Elkin, A., Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphism algebras of hyperelliptic jacobians and finite projective lines. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **21**, 169–187 (2006)

[6] Lang, S.: Algebra. 3rd edn. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1993)

[7] Mortimer, B.: The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **41**, 1–20 (1980)

[8] Mumford D.: Abelian varieties. 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, London (1974)

[9] Poonen, B., Schaefer, E.: Explicit descent for jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. J. reine angew. Math. **488**, 141–188 (1997)

[10] Ribet, K.: Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications. Amer. J. Math. **98**, 751–804 (1976)

[11] Ribet, K.: Endomorphisms of semi-stable abelian varieties over number fields. Ann. Math. (2) **101**, 555–562 (1975)

[12] Schaefer, E.: Computing a Selmer group of a jacobian using functions on the curve. Math. Ann. **310**, 447–471 (1998)

[13] Serre, J.-P.: Topics in Galois Theory. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston-London (1992)

[14] Shimura, G.: Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971)

[15] Shimura, G.: Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1997)

[16] Silverberg, A.: Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **77** , 253–262 (1992)

[17] van der Waerden, B.L.: Algebra I. Achte Auflage. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1971).

[18] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication. Math. Res. Letters **7**, 123–132 (2000)

[19] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians and modular representations. In: Moduli of abelian varieties (C. Faber, G. van der Geer, F. Oort, editors). Progress in Math. **195**, 473–490. Birkhäuser, Boston (2001)

[20] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication in positive characteristic. Math. Res. Letters **8**, 429–435 (2001)

[21] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic jacobians. Moscow Math. J. **2** (2002), issue 2, 403-431.

[22] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians without Complex Multiplication, Doubly Transitive Permutation Groups and Projective Representations. Contemp. Math. **300**, 195–210 (2002)

[23] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Endomorphism rings of certain jacobians in finite characteristic. Matem. Sbornik **193**, issue 8, 39–48 (2002); Sbornik Math. **193** (8), 1139-1149 (2002)

[24] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Hyperelliptic jacobians and simple groups $\mathrm{U}_3(2^m)$. Proc. AMS **131**, 95–102 (2003)

[25] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Cyclic covers, their Jacobians and endomorphisms. J. reine angew. Math. **544**, 91–110 (2002).

[26] Zarhin, Yu. G.:Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic jacobians. In: Number Theory, Algebra, and Algebraic Geometry (Shafarevich Festschrift). Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Math. **241**, 79–92 (2003)

[27] Zarhin, Yu. G.: The endomorphism rings of jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136**, 257–267 (2004).

[28] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Non-supersingular hyperelliptic jacobians. Bull. Soc. Math. France **132**, 617–634 (2004)

[29] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Homomorphisms of abelian varieties. In: Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory (AGCT 2003) (Y. Aubry, G. Lachaud, editors). Séminaires et Congrès **11**, 189–215 (2005).

[30] Zarhin, Yu. G., Endomorphism algebras of superelliptic Jacobians. In: Geometric methods in Algebra and Number Theory (F. Bogomolov, Yu. Tschinkel, editors). Progress in Math. **235**, 339–362, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin (2005)

[31] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and jacobians without complex multiplication (Russian). Trudy St. Petersburg Mat. Obsch. **11**, 81–91 (2005); AMS Translations - Series 2, vol. **218**, 67–75 (2006)

[32] Zarhin, Yu. G.: Superelliptic jacobians. In: "Diophantine Geometry" Proceedings (U. Zannier, ed.), Edizioni Della Normali, Pisa, pp. 363-390 (2007)

[33] Zarhin, Yu.G.: Non–isogenous superelliptic jacobians. Math. Z. **253**, 537–554 (2006)

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

Institute for Mathematical Problems in Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, Moscow Region, Russia

E-mail address: `zarhin@math.psu.edu`